It’s not only about the **how**: Asking the **right questions** to get better evaluation results
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Overview

1. Some background
2. Introducing the discussion guide
3. Scenarios
4. Q & A
Sector Driven Evaluation Strategy

• Many in the nonprofit sector feel like evaluation isn’t working as well as it could (Funders have also told us this)

• Evaluation is a big systems issue

• Can be a huge asset when we get it right

• Most of the focus is on the how to and not enough is on the why
What We Heard From Nonprofits

- Inconsistent
- Headache
- Misunderstood
- Top-down
- Non-negotiable
- Last Minute
- Not read
- Lack of Capacity
- Spun
- Focused on numbers
- Uncoordinated
- Under-funded
- Proving the obvious
- Inaccurate
- Accountability
- Focused on numbers
- Uncoordinated
- Under-funded
RESEARCH TELLS US THAT THE FOLLOWING SIX FACTORS ARE KEY TO A USEFUL EVALUATION:

- A clear, shared purpose
- Specific people committed to meaningful use of the evaluation
- A plan for ongoing communication that ensures buy-in and transparency
- Follow-through
- A process to ensure that there is a safe space for critical reflection and learning
- A match between expectations, skills/capacity, and funding
Principles to Help Us Get to Useful Evaluation

**Reciprocal Respect**
An evaluation that is treated as a partnership is more likely to lead to positive action.

- An agreement on a clear, shared purpose
- Recommendations for altering the evaluation approach when needed
- Plain language
- Creating a safe space for making mistakes and sharing honestly
- Consideration of transparency, respect for privacy, and the risk of harm

**Commitment to Use & Learning**
Evaluation should be concerned primarily with learning and action.

- A clearly articulated plan for how an evaluation will be used
- A plan for how and when users will communicate
- A discussion of how other stakeholders should be engaged, noting that evaluation is most effective when those involved feel they have a voice
- A design to ensure that this approach will feed to reflection, learning, and decisions to answer the key evaluation questions
- A plan for reflection on the process itself noting that carrying out an evaluation (e.g., collecting data and asking questions) can have an affect on the community

**Matching the Why to the How**
Good evaluation is not wedded to a single approach but employs a range of methods designed to maximize the chances of achieving the evaluation's intended use.

- A detailed estimate of the time and resources required
- A match between funding, reporting requirements, and evaluation goals
- A basic understanding of existing research on the subject and a discussion of whether more can be learned through an evaluation
- An exploration of whether a review of existing research, new applied research, or performance measurement might be a better fit
- An openness to explore the full spectrum of evaluation approaches (including alternative approaches (e.g., arts-based evaluations))

For more information: theo.ca/our-work/our-structures/evaluation
Reciprocal Respect

An evaluation that is treated as a partnership is more likely to lead to positive action.

Includes evidence of:

| An agreement on a clear, shared purpose | Accommodations for altering the evaluation approach when needed | Plain language | Creating a safe space for making mistakes and sharing honestly | Consideration of transparency, respect for privacy, and the risk of harm |
LEARNING TOGETHER

FIVE IMPORTANT DISCUSSION QUESTIONS to make EVALUATION USEFUL

ONTARIO NONPROFIT NETWORK
1. What are we really trying to learn? How will this learning lead to action?

2. How can we help each other learn?

3. Is evaluation the right approach? If so, what kind?

4. Who is going to do what?

5. How will we communicate?
**QUESTION 1:**

What are we really trying to learn? How will this learning lead to action?

| What this question means and why it matters for useful evaluation | 7 |
|何 to ask it | 8 |
| When and where to ask it | 10 |
| Challenges that might come up | 10 |

[Image of Engage, Advocate, Lead logos]
Here are some suggestions for other questions to ask should you need further probes to get to the information you are looking for:

- How much input will I/we have into how the evaluation is designed? If I/we feel the methodology is too intrusive, for example, would I have an opportunity to get that method changed?
- How and when will we communicate about this evaluation? Who should we call if we have questions?
- What should we do if we face challenges (like low response rates)?
- Could we arrange to meet half-way through to discuss preliminary results?
- Could you come to one of the meetings of our evaluation or program advisory committee?
- Would it be possible to arrange a meeting with other similar grant recipients to discuss our evaluation plans?
How Does Evaluation Communication Flow in Funder-Mandated Evaluations?

- Donors
- Community members
- Politicians
- Senior Government Official
- Funder #1
- Government Department
- Nonprofit #1
- Nonprofit #2
- Funder #2
- Nonprofit #3

Insight and Action?
Insight and Action!
Introducing the scenarios

1. We’re going to work through three scenarios (one at a time) together.
2. Use the chat box to write in your questions or comments.
3. Feel free to use the discussion guide if you need suggestions.
4. We want this to be a safe space so we encourage you to make your answers realistic, but to also have fun.
During the presentation the funder explains that outcome measurement is going to be a key component of the process. Grant applications are to align with a list of priority outcomes and grant recipients will be expected to report on how they have measured their contributions to these outcomes.

You feel these outcomes are good, but a bit ambitious and you aren’t clear on exactly what kind of evaluation work you will be expected to do. You’d like to ask for clarification. At the same time, you don’t want to give the funder the impression that your organization isn’t supportive of the new direction.
Meeting with Your Board

You are an executive director with an interest in getting your organization to do more evaluation. **You think it will put your organization in a stronger position when applying for grants and you also think it will improve service.** However, your board of directors is cautious. They don’t want the organization to take time away from providing service to clients, in order to “do paperwork.”
1:1 Meeting with a Potential Funder

You are interested in applying for a grant from a funder. You share their vision of combatting climate change, but are concerned by the requirement that all grant recipients pick one outcome from a list and focus their project on that. The outcomes on the list are all very concrete, but are also more long-term (e.g. an increase in the percentage of people who cycle or walk to and from work, the amount of greenhouse gases reduced, etc.). Your program is focused on building environmental awareness at a community level and is primarily about engagement.

You have a great evaluation system in place and it works really well for you. However, it only focuses on the small steps that your organization is taking to help make your community more engaged on environmental issues (e.g. showing up to events, participating in discussions more frequently, etc.).
Stay Connected

Subscribe to our Evidence Network News Flash to stay informed of our work

Website: http://theonn.ca/our-work/our-structures/evaluation/
Twitter: @o_n_n #RethinkEval @OntJobs
Linkedin: Ontario Nonprofit Network
Facebook: Ontario Nonprofit Network
Email: ben@theonn.ca